

# Scrapping Your Dependently- Typed Boilerplate is Hard

Ahmad Salim Al-Sibahi

Supervised by:

Dr. Peter Sestoft

David R. Christiansen

IT University of Copenhagen

# Disposition

- Introduction
- Uniplate in 10 minutes
- The Hard Part
- Related Work

# Introduction

- Outline for a problem
- Example-oriented approach
- Based on my work on described types

# Motivation

- Generic traversal frameworks aims to reduce repetitive programs using practical interfaces
- Dependently-typed programming is getting increasingly popular as paradigm

A Framework to Scrap Your Boilerplate

# **UNIPLATE IN 10 MINUTES**

# Background

- Popular framework created by Neil Mitchell
- Based on Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) by Ralf Lämmel and Simon Peyton-Jones

# Background

- Type-directed querying and transformation
- Automatically derivable using generic programming techniques

# Interface - Uniplate



```
children    :: Uniplate on =>  
            on -> [on]
```

```
universe    :: Uniplate on =>  
            on -> [on]
```

```
descend     :: Uniplate on =>  
            (on -> on) -> on -> on
```

```
transform   :: Uniplate on =>  
            (on -> on) -> on -> on
```



# Interface - Biplate



childrenBi :: Biplate from to =>  
from -> [to]

universeBi :: Biplate from to =>  
from -> [to]

descendBi :: Biplate from to =>  
(to -> to) -> from -> from

transformBi :: Biplate from to =>  
(to -> to) -> from -> from

# Example: Blog Post



```
type Title = String
```

```
type Timestamp = Int
```

```
data Post = Single Title Timestamp  
          | Aggregate [Post]
```

```
timestamps :: Post -> [Timestamp]
```

```
timestamps = universeBi
```

```
capitaliseTitles :: Post -> Post
```

```
capitaliseTitles = transformBi capitalise
```

# Adapted Realistic Case



```
data TT =  
  Ref Name TT  
  | Var Int  
  | Bind Name TT TT  
  | App TT TT  
  | ConstantStr String  
  | ConstantI Int  
  | Proj TT Int  
  | Type  
  | Erased
```

# Adapted Realistic Case



```
freeNames :: TT -> [Name]
freeNames (Ref n _) = [n]
freeNames (Bind n ty sc) =
    freeNames ty ++ (freeNames sc \\ [n])
freeNames (App tf ta) =
    freeNames tf ++ freeNames ta
freeNames (Proj tm _) =
    freeNames tm
freeNames _ = []
```

# Adapted Realistic Case



```
freeNames :: TT -> [Name]
freeNames (Ref n _) = [n]
freeNames (Bind n ty sc) =
    freeNames ty ++ (freeNames sc \\ [n])
freeNames tm =
    concat [freeNames t | t <- children tm]
```

# Uniplate type class



```
class Uniplate on where
  uniplate :: on ->
    ([on], [on] -> on)
```

# Instance of Uniplate



**instance** Uniplate Post **where**

```
uniplate (Single tt1 ts) =  
  ([,  
    \_      -> Single tt1 ts)
```

```
uniplate (Aggregate psts) =  
  (psts,  
   \psts' -> Aggregate psts')
```

# Biplate type class



```
class Uniplate to => Biplate from to where  
  biplate :: from -> ([to], [to] -> from)
```



# Instance of Biplate



**instance** Biplate Post Timestamp **where**

```
biplate (Single ttl ts) =  
  ([ts],  
   \ts' -> Single ttl (head ts'))
```

```
biplate (Aggregate psts) =  
  ([],  
   \_ -> Aggregate psts)
```

How I tried and failed to specify a correct interface  
for a dependent version of Uniplate

# THE HARD PART

# Automatic Deriving

- Significantly simplifies usage of the library
- Depends on structure of datatypes (like Eq or Show)
- Works only on monomorphic types

# Automatic Deriving



```
data List_Nat : Set where
  nil      : List_Nat
  cons     : (head : Nat) (tail : List_Nat)
            -> List_Nat
```

# Automatic Deriving



```
data Vec_Nat : Nat -> Set where
  nil      : Vec_Nat zero
  cons     : {n : Nat}
            (head : Nat) (tail : Vec_Nat n)
            -> Vec_Nat (suc n)
```

Index indistinguishable from ordinary data

# Automatic Deriving



```
data OList : Nat -> Set where  
  nil    : {n : Nat} -> OList n  
  cons   : {n : Nat}  
           (head : Nat) (ok : n <= head)  
           (tail : OList head)  
           -> OList n
```

Index is based on ordinary data

# Generic Querying



```
childrenBi : {from to : Set}
            {{bip : Biplate from to}}
            -> from -> List to
```

```
universeBi : {from to : Set}
            {{bip : Biplate from to}}
            -> from -> List to
```

List Nat may be interesting  
Vec 0 Nat is definitely not

# Generic Querying



```
childrenBi : {from ix : Set}
             {to : ix -> Set}
             {{bip : Biplate from to}}
             -> from -> List (Sigma ix to)
```

```
universeBi : {from ix : Set}
             {to : ix -> Set}
             {{bip : Biplate from to}}
             -> from -> List (Sigma ix to)
```

Is all data we are getting useful?



# Generic Traversal



```
descendBi : {from to : Set}
           {{bip : Biplate from to}}
           -> (to -> to) -> from -> from
```

```
transformBi : {from to : Set}
              {{bip : Biplate from to}}
              -> (to -> to) -> from -> from
```

# Generic Traversal

```
descendBi : {from ix : Set}
           {to : ix -> Set}
           {{bip : Biplate from to}}
           -> (Sigma ix to -> Sigma ix to)
           -> from -> from

transformBi : {from ix : Set}
             {to : ix -> Set}
             {{bip : Biplate from to}}
             -> (Sigma ix to -> Sigma ix to)
             -> from -> from
```



Is this OK?

# Generic Traversal

```
data TwoVec : Nat -> Set where
  two_vec : {n : Nat}
            -> Vec_Nat n -> Vec_Nat (S n)
            -> TwoVec n
```



```
double : Sigma Nat Vec_Nat
        -> Sigma Nat Vec_Nat
double (proj1 , proj2) =
  plus proj1 proj1 , append proj2 proj2
```

What if we do “descendBi double xs”?

# Generic Traversal

- Transformations can break dependent datatype invariants
- Transformations must be constrained to only allow creation of valid structures

# Generic Traversal



```
data OList : Nat -> Set where  
  nil    : {n : Nat} -> OList n  
  cons   : {n : Nat}  
           (head : Nat) (ok : n <= head)  
           (tail : OList head)  
           -> OList n
```

OList is the best to describe the constraints of  
OList

# Generic Traversal



```
data Vec (A : Set) (n : Nat) : Set where
  nil      : {{ix : n == zero}} -> Vec A n
  _::__    : {m : Nat} {{ix : n == suc m}}
              (x : A) (xs : Vec A m) -> Vec A n
```

Index-like restrictions can be added to any datatype

Fret not! There is still hope.

# **RELATED WORK**

# SYB in a closed universe

- Work by Larry Diehl
- Typecasing on universes using described types
- Traversal change the type of input



# Transporting functions across ornaments

- Work by Conor McBride and Pierre-Evariste Dagand

<b>data Bool:SET where</b> Bool $\ni$ true   false	<b>Maybe-Orn</b> $\implies$	<b>data Maybe [A:SET]:SET where</b> Maybe <sub>A</sub> $\ni$ just (a:A)   nothing
<b>data Nat:SET where</b> Nat $\ni$ 0   suc (n:Nat)	<b>List-Orn</b> $\implies$	<b>data List [A:SET]:SET where</b> List <sub>A</sub> $\ni$ nil   cons (a:A)(as:List <sub>A</sub> )

# Transporting function across ornaments



Just 3 more minutes...

# **DISCUSSION**

# Conclusion

- Dependent types allow us to constraint our datatypes
  - However we lose structural genericity
- It is hard to define a correct generalized interface for SYB-style programming
  - Automatic Deriving: Hard
  - Generic Querying: Doable
  - Generic Traversal: Hard
- Extrinsic vs. Intrinsic proving

# Contribution

- An example-based problem definition of why it can be hard to implement a SYB-style generics library using dependent types

# Questions ?

And feedback too!