

Exercises week 2

Mandatory handin 1

Friday 9 September 2016

Goal of the exercises

The goal of this week's exercises is to make sure that you can use the `final` modifier to properly create and publish immutable objects; can build a threadsafe class in Java; make effective use of Java's concurrent collection classes in package `java.util.concurrent`; and use the "future" concept.

Do this first

Get and unpack this week's example code in zip file `pcpp-week02.zip` on the course homepage.

Exercise 2.1 Consider the potentially computation-intensive problem of counting the number of prime number factors of an integer. This Java method from file `TestCountFactors.java` finds the number of prime factors of `p`:

```
public static int countFactors(int p) {
    if (p < 2)
        return 0;
    int factorCount = 1, k = 2;
    while (p >= k * k) {
        if (p % k == 0) {
            factorCount++;
            p /= k;
        } else
            k++;
    }
    return factorCount;
}
```

How this method works is not important, only that it may take some time to compute the number of prime factors. Actually the time is bounded by a function proportional to the square root of `p`, in other words $O(\sqrt{p})$.

1. Write a sequential program to compute the total number of prime factors of the integers in range 0 to 4,999,999. The result should be 18,703,729. How much time does this take?
2. For use in the next subquestion you will need a `MyAtomicInteger` class that represents a thread-safe integer. It must have a method `int addAndGet(int amount)` that atomically adds `amount` to the integer and returns its new value, and a `int get()` method that returns the current value.
Write such a `MyAtomicInteger` class.
3. Write a parallel program that uses 10 threads to count the total number of prime factors of the integers in range 0 to 4,999,999. Divide the work so that the first thread processes the numbers 0–499,999, the second thread processes the numbers 500,000–999,999, the third thread processes the numbers 1,000,000–1,499,999, and so on, using your `MyAtomicInteger` class. Do you still get the correct answer? How much time does this take?
4. Could one implement `MyAtomicInteger` without synchronization, just using a volatile field? Why or why not?
5. Solve the same problem but use the `AtomicInteger` class from the `java.util.concurrent.atomic` package instead of `MyAtomicInteger`. Is there any noticeable difference in speed or result? Should the `AtomicInteger` field be declared `final`?

Exercise 2.2 Consider the lecture's versions of Goetz's factorization examples in file `TestFactorizer.java`.

1. In the `VolatileCachingFactorizer` class, why is it important that the `cache` field is declared `volatile`?
2. In the `OneValueCache` class, why is it important that both fields are declared `final`?

Exercise 2.3 A histogram is a collection of bins, each of which is an integer count. The span of the histogram is the number of bins. In the problems below a span of 30 will be sufficient; in that case the bins are numbered 0...29.

Consider this Histogram interface for creating histograms:

```
interface Histogram {
    public void increment(int bin);
    public int getCount(int bin);
    public int getSpan();
}
```

Method call `increment(7)` will add one to bin 7; method call `getCount(7)` will return the current count in bin 7; method `getSpan()` will return the number of bins.

There is a non-threadsafe implementation `Histogram1` in file `SimpleHistogram.java`. You may assume that the `dump` method given there is called only when no other thread manipulates the histogram and therefore does not require locking, and that the span is fixed (immutable) for any given Histogram object.

1. Make a thread-safe implementation, class `Histogram2`, of interface `Histogram` by adding suitable modifiers (`final` and `synchronized`) to a copy of the `Histogram1` class. Which fields and methods need which modifiers? Why? Does the `getSpan` method need to be synchronized?
2. Now consider again counting the number of prime factors in a number p , as in Exercise 2.3 and file `TestCountFactors.java`. Use the `Histogram2` class to write a parallel program that counts how many numbers in the range 0...4 999 999 have 0 prime factors, how many have 1 prime factor, how many have 2 prime factors, and so on. You may draw inspiration from the `TestCountPrimes.java` example.

The correct result should look like this:

```
0:      2
1:   348513
2:   979274
3:  1232881
4:  1015979
5:   660254
6:   374791
7:   197039
8:    98949
9:   48400
... and so on
```

showing that 348 513 numbers in 0...4 999 999 have 1 prime factor (those are the prime numbers), 979 274 numbers have 2 prime factors, and so on. (The 2 numbers that have 0 prime factors are 0 and 1). And of course the numbers in the second column should add up to 5 000 000.

3. Define a thread-safe class `Histogram3` that uses an array of `java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger` objects instead of an array of integers to hold the counts.

In principle this solution might perform better, because there is no need to lock the entire histogram object when two threads update distinct bins. Only when two threads call `increment(7)` at the same time do they need to make sure the increments of bin 7 are atomic.

Can you now remove `synchronized` from all methods? Why? Run your prime factor counter and check that the results are correct.

4. Define a thread-safe class `Histogram4` that uses a `java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicIntegerArray` object to hold the counts. Run your prime factor counter and check that the results are correct.

5. Now extend the Histogram interface with a method `getBins` that returns an array of the bin counts:

```
public int[] getBins();
```

Show how you would implement this method for each of the classes Histogram2, Histogram3 and Histogram4 so that they remain thread-safe. Explain for each implementation whether it gives a fixed snapshot or a live view of the bin counts, possibly affected by subsequent `increment` calls.

Note in particular that for instance in the case of Histogram2 it would not be thread-safe to just return a reference to the internal array of integers, since a client who receives that reference could mess with the histogram's bin counts without any synchronization.

6. In Java 8 there is class `java.util.concurrent.atomic.LongAdder` that potentially offers even better scalability across multiple threads than `AtomicInteger` and `AtomicLong`; see the Java class library documentation. Create a Histogram5 class that uses an array of `LongAdder` objects for the bins, and use it to solve the same problem as before.

Exercise 2.4 File `TestCache.java` contains a version of the prime factorization server example that implements the `Computable` interface and therefore can be wrapped in a memoizer, as developed in the lecture.

In this exercise you must write a program that creates and starts 16 threads numbered $t = 0 \dots 15$, each of which computes the factors of 40 000 numbers, and such that their work partially overlaps (to demonstrate that the cache works):

- Every thread t must compute the factors of the 20 000 numbers from 10 000 000 000 to 10 000 019 999.
- Thread t must further compute the factors of the 20 000 numbers from $10\,000\,020\,000 + t \cdot 5\,000$ to $10\,000\,039\,999 + t \cdot 5\,000$.

In total the numbers in the range from 10 000 000 000 to $10\,000\,039\,999 + 15 \cdot 5\,000 = 10\,000\,114\,999$ will be factorized, that is, 115 000 distinct numbers.

Graphically, the intervals handled by each thread can be shown like this, where each dash represents a range of 5 000 numbers

thread 0	_____	...
thread 1	_____	...
thread 2	_____	...
⋮	⋮	⋮
thread 15	_____	... _____

To make it easier to solve later exercises it is advisable to implement this scheme in terms of two parameters `start` and `range`:

```
final long start = 10_000_000_000L, range = 20_000L;
```

Then thread t considers the two ranges `from1...to1` and `from2...to2`, startpoint included and endpoint excluded, where `from1 = start`, `to1 = from1+range`, `from2 = start+range+t*range/4`, and `to2 = from2+range`.

1. Write a method `exerciseFactorizer` that takes as argument a thread-safe caching factorizer and calls it from 16 threads as specified above. The method outline may be something like this:

```
private static void exerciseFactorizer(Computable<Long, long[]> f) {
    final int threadCount = 16;
    final long start = 10_000_000_000L, range = 20_000L;
    System.out.println(f.getClass());
    ...
}
```

where the purpose of printing `f.getClass()` is just to show which of the cache classes is currently being used.

2. Wrap the given Factorizer in the Memoizer1 class and run the above program on this cached factorizer, then print the number of calls to the underlying Factorizer. You might use code such as this:

```
Factorizer f = new Factorizer();
exerciseFactorizer(new Memoizer0<Long, long[]>(f));
System.out.println(f.getCount());
```

The number of calls to the factorizer should be 115 000. Is it?

If your platform allows it, measure and note the execution time for this activity, using eg. `time java TestCache` on MacOS or Linux. In that case, note both the “real time” which is the wall-clock time, the “user time” which is the total CPU time spent by your code, and the “system time” which is the total CPU time spent in the operating system kernel.

3. Repeat this experiment with Memoizer2. How many times is the factorizer called? How long does the whole process take? Explain both results.
4. Repeat this experiment with Memoizer3. How many times is the factorizer called? How long does it take? Explain both results.
5. Repeat this experiment with Memoizer4. How many times is the factorizer called? How long does it take? Explain both results.
6. Repeat this experiment with Memoizer5. How many times is the factorizer called? How long does it take? Explain both results.
7. Write a caching class Memoizer0 that uses `ConcurrentHashMap` and its `computeIfAbsent` method to simply compute the given work `c.compute(arg)`, and using no `FutureTasks` or other fancy features. This can be done in 10 lines of code or less, and the correctness and thread-safety should be obvious. Repeat the above experiment with your Memoizer0. How many times is the factorizer called? How long does it take? Explain both results.

Note: This is vastly simpler than Goetz’s development, yet performs quite well in the present application even though it may violate the advice given in the Java 8 class library documentation for `computeIfAbsent`: “Some attempted update operations on this map by other threads may be blocked while computation is in progress, so the computation should be short and simple”. Or maybe prime factorization *is* a “short and simple” computation, whereas for instance an HTTP request to a webserver would not be — such a request might block for many seconds. Hence for cache HTTP requests, the fancy Memoizer5 cache is probably still much preferable to the simpler Memoizer0.